

GRADUATE STUDIES A NOTES

PETER J MCNAMARA

ABSTRACT. These are notes for Graduate Studies A, Topics In Lie Theory. Please let me know of any errors or typos you might spot. This document makes no guarantee of being comprehensive. Proofs may be terse and require details to be filled in (which are good exercises for students and non-students alike).

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Algebraic Geometry	2
3. Algebraic Groups	2
4. Semisimple and Unipotent elements	4
5. Group actions	5
6. Borel subgroups	5
7. Reductive groups	6
8. roots	6
9. Facts about the Weyl group	7
10. Unipotent groups	7
11. representation theory	8
12. The flag variety	9
13. torus actions on projective varieties	9
14. Bruhat Decomposition	10
15. Picard Group of the flag variety	10
16. Borel-Weil-Bott	10
17. characteristic classes	11
18. Borel-Moore homology	11
19. cohomology of flag varieties	12
20. Schubert varieties	12
References	13

1. INTRODUCTION

Ostensibly the goal of this course is to explain parts of Lie theory that are not covered in the standard M.Sc course sequences. Because of the desire to get to certain places, many proofs will not be covered. We start with algebraic groups.

A standing assumption is that k is an algebraically closed field. (There are versions of many of these statements over a general field, but for simplicity it is easiest to understand the algebraically closed case first and look to more thorough references e.g. [C, M] for statements over general fields).

Exercise 1.1. *Fill in all missing/sketched proofs.*

2. ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

Some level of algebraic geometry is desired to understand algebraic groups. If you only ever work over \mathbb{C} , then you can always work with complex analytic Lie groups and you don't need any algebraic geometry. But if you want to work over any other field, some algebraic geometry is necessary.

Classical books on algebraic geometry by Borel, Humphreys and Springer all work with varieties. Familiarity with schemes will help at times.

Definition 2.1. *Let X be a scheme and R a ring. Define*

$$X(R) = \text{Hom}(X, \text{Spec}(R)).$$

The hom set above should be taken in the category of schemes (or in the category of schemes over S if that is the more natural setting).

Since the determinant is a polynomial in the entries of the matrix, we have the following example:

Example 2.2. *Let $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n]) / (\det - 1)$. Then $X(R)$ is the set of $n \times n$ matrices whose determinant is equal to 1.*

3. ALGEBRAIC GROUPS

We can define the notion of a group object in any category which admits products and has a terminal object.

Definition 3.1. *A group object in a category \mathcal{C} is an object G together with morphisms $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$, $\iota : G \rightarrow G$ and $e : * \rightarrow G$ satisfying the obvious conditions.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G \times G & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times m} & G \times G \\ m \times \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ G \times G & \xrightarrow{m} & G \end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. Associativity of multiplication

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 G \times G & \xleftarrow{e \times \text{id}} & G & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times e} & G \times G \\
 & \searrow m & \downarrow \text{id} & \swarrow m & \\
 & & G & &
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. Unit axiom

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 G & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & G \times G & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times i} & G \times G \\
 & \searrow & & & \downarrow m \\
 & & * & \xrightarrow{e} & G
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 3. Inverse axiom

Missing is the opposite inverse axiom. You can draw that for yourself.

Definition 3.2. A group scheme is a group object in the category of schemes.

Definition 3.3. An algebraic group is a group object in the category of algebraic varieties.

Milne [M] chooses a different definition. The difference only manifests itself over a field of positive characteristic.

Definition 3.4. An algebraic group is a group scheme of finite type over a field.

Definition 3.5. An affine algebraic group is an algebraic group that is affine.

Example 3.6. The group GL_n , is $\text{Spec}(k[\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n, t]/(t \det - 1))$. With this definition we have $GL_n(R)$ is the group of $n \times n$ invertible matrices with entries in R .

Technically in the above example we should've given the maps m , ι and e . They all exist as morphisms of algebraic varieties because matrix multiplication is a polynomial in the entries of the matrix, the determinant of a product is the product of determinants, and the inverse of a matrix is a polynomial in the entries of the matrix together with the inverse of the determinant.

Definition 3.7. A linear algebraic group is a closed subgroup of GL_n for some n .

You will often see the adjectives linear and affine interchanged because of the following theorem.

Theorem 3.8. An algebraic group is affine if and only if it is linear.

There exist algebraic groups that are not affine (e.g. elliptic curves, or more generally abelian varieties). Their study is very orthogonal to the affine case, we will not talk about them further.

The other families of classical groups are associated to stabilisers of bilinear forms on vector spaces.

Example 3.9. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space, and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a non-degenerate symplectic bilinear form on V . The symplectic group $Sp(V)$ is the stabiliser in $GL(V)$ of this bilinear form.

Concretely, every symplectic bilinear form is of the form $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = {}^t \mathbf{v} J \mathbf{w}$ for some matrix J satisfying ${}^t J = -J$. The nondegeneracy condition is that $\det J \neq 0$. For this to happen it is necessary that V is even-dimensional.

With this, a matrix g lies in $Sp(V)$ if $\langle g\mathbf{v}, g\mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$ for all $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$, which is equivalent to ${}^t g J g = J$. This last equation is a polynomial condition on the entries of g , showing that $Sp(V)$ is a closed subgroup of $GL(V)$.

The “best” choice of J is $J = \begin{pmatrix} & j \\ -j & \end{pmatrix}$ with j antidiagonal with 1’s on the antidiagonal. All other choices of J are equivalent to this one via a change of basis. With this choice, we also write Sp_{2n} for $Sp(V)$ where $2n = \dim V$.

The other classical groups that exist over any field are the orthogonal groups. Let V be a vector space and q a quadratic form. The orthogonal group is the stabiliser of the quadratic form and the special orthogonal group is the subgroup of the orthogonal group consisting of elements with determinant 1 (we ignore characteristic two for now, if you want to see that case with all the details done properly, see [C]).

Standard choices of a quadratic form are

$$q(x_{-n}, \dots, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_{-i}$$

in odd dimensions (type B) and

$$q(x_{-n}, \dots, x_{-1}, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_{-i}$$

in even dimensions (type D).

4. SEMISIMPLE AND UNIPOTENT ELEMENTS

First we define semisimple and unipotent.

Definition 4.1. *An element $g \in GL_n(k)$ is semisimple if it is diagonalisable after passing to the algebraic closure. An element $g \in GL_n(k)$ is unipotent if its only eigenvalue (after passing to an algebraic closure) is 1.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let $g \in GL_n(k)$. Then there exist unique $s, u \in GL_n(k)$ such that $g = su$, s is semisimple, u is unipotent and s and u commute.*

The following is not quite a proof, but it works in characteristic zero. Exercise: work out what goes wrong.

Proof. Uniqueness is clear as the only element that is semisimple and unipotent is the identity. Over an algebraically closed field, existence follows from Jordan normal form. Now if $g \in GL_n(k)$, by the algebraically closed field case, we can write $g = su$ with $s, u \in GL_n(\bar{k})$. By uniqueness, s and u are invariant under the Galois group so lie in $GL_n(k)$. \square

5. GROUP ACTIONS

The definition of an algebraic group G acting on a variety X consists of the datum of an action map $a : G \times X \rightarrow X$ such that the obvious diagrams commute.

Exercise 5.1. *If G and X are affine, express the datum of an action of G on X purely in terms of the algebras $k[G]$ and $k[X]$ (you should discover the notion of a comodule).*

Exercise 5.2. *Let X be affine. Show that the datum of a \mathbb{G}_m -action on X is equivalent to a \mathbb{Z} -grading on $k[X]$.*

6. BOREL SUBGROUPS

Work over an algebraically closed field.

Definition 6.1. *Let G be a linear algebraic group. A Borel subgroup is a maximal solvable connected subgroup of G .*

Here are some theorems about Borel subgroups.

Theorem 6.2. *Every two Borel subgroups are conjugate by an element of $G(k)$.*

Theorem 6.3. *Assume G is connected. A Borel subgroup is its own normaliser.*

Theorem 6.4. *A connected solvable affine group acting on a proper variety has a fixed point.*

Proof. Let G be the group and X the variety. First we deal with the case where the dimension of G is 1. Let $x \in X$. Let \tilde{G} be the completion of G (as a variety, so it'll always be \mathbb{P}^1). There is $\varphi_x : G \rightarrow X$ given by $\varphi_x(g) = g \cdot x$. As X is proper we can extend φ_x to a morphism from \tilde{G} to X . The image of any point in $\tilde{G} \setminus G$ is a fixed point.

If N is a connected normal subgroup of G then $X^G = (X^N)^{G/N}$. So we can proceed by induction on the dimension of G if G contains a connected normal proper subgroup of positive dimension. This is always the case (we omit this for now). \square

As a corollary, we obtain the Lie-Kolchin theorem. (for statements about flag varieties, look to §12).

Theorem 6.5. *Every smooth connected solvable subgroup of $GL(V)$ stabilises a complete flag.*

This theorem is equivalent to saying that such a subgroup is conjugate to a subgroup of upper-triangular matrices.

For examples showing the necessity of the various assumptions in the Lie-Kolchin theorem, see [M, 16.36-16.38].

Examples of Borel subgroups:

If $G = GL_n$, then a Borel subgroup is given by the subgroup of upper-triangular matrices. (note a Borel can't be any bigger by the Lie-Kolchin theorem, giving the proof).

If G is one of the other classical groups given in their standard realisations as defined earlier, then a Borel subgroup is again given by the subgroup of upper-triangular matrices. A word of warning, the truth of this fact depends on the choice of the matrix J (in the symplectic case) or the quadratic form q (in the orthogonal case).

Exercise 6.6. In Sp_{2n} consider the elements

$$e_i(x) = I + x(E_{i,i+1} - E_{2n-i,2n-i+1})$$

for $1 \leq i < n$ and

$$e_n(x) = 1 + xE_{n,n+1},$$

where $x \in k$.

- (1) Show that $e_i(x) \in Sp_{2n}$.
- (2) Show that the only complete flag stabilised by all $e_i(x)$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $x \in k$ is the usual one.
- (3) Conclude that the subgroup of upper-triangular matrices in Sp_{2n} is a Borel subgroup.

7. REDUCTIVE GROUPS

Definition 7.1. Let G be a linear algebraic group. Its unipotent radical is the maximal connected normal unipotent subgroup of G .

The unipotent radical always exists.

Definition 7.2. A group G is reductive if its unipotent radical is trivial.

Remark 7.3. Some authors may assume that a reductive group is also connected. This is the most important case.

8. ROOTS

Let G be a reductive group. Let T be a maximal torus of G . Let $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$. Consider the adjoint action of T on \mathfrak{g} . Then there is a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$$

where

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \text{Ad}(t)(x) = \alpha(t)x \text{ for all } t \in T\} \neq 0.$$

This defines a subset $\Phi \subset X^*(T)$, called the set of roots.

It is a general fact about torus actions that such a decomposition exists, what is less trivial is that every \mathfrak{g}_α is one-dimensional.

If you work over a non-algebraically closed field, you don't necessarily have as big of a split torus to work with, and the one-dimensionality can fail. A silly-looking example of this is to take a restriction of scalars. A more satisfying example comes from the quasi-split group preserving a Hermitian form.

Exercise 8.1. Let α be a simple negative root. Let β be a positive root different from $-\alpha$. Suppose that i and j are positive integers such that $i\alpha + j\beta \in \Phi$. Prove that $i\alpha + j\beta \in \Phi^+$.

9. FACTS ABOUT THE WEYL GROUP

Definition 9.1. Let G be a connected reductive group and let T be a maximal torus. The Weyl group is defined to be

$$W = N_G(T)/T.$$

Exercise 9.2. Show that if $G = SL_2$, then $N_G(T)$ is not a semidirect product of T and W .

Remark 9.3. There is a sense in which $N_G(T) \rightarrow W$ has a section up to a 2-group. This is due to Tits [T].

Exercise 9.4. Show directly that if $G = GL_n$ then $W = S_n$ (which in this case lifts to a subgroup of GL_n but we've just learned that this is rather special).

Remark 9.5. Over non-algebraically closed fields, one takes a maximally split torus S and defines

$$W = N_G(S)/Z_G(S).$$

For distinct $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$, let $m_{\alpha\beta}$ be the order of $s_\alpha s_\beta$. Then we have

Theorem 9.6. The Weyl group W has a presentation

$$W = \langle \{s_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mid s_\alpha^2 = 1, (s_\alpha s_\beta)^{m_{\alpha\beta}} = 1 \rangle,$$

i.e. W is a Coxeter group.

Definition 9.7. Let $w \in W$. Define the length of w , denoted $\ell(w)$, to be the smallest number ℓ such that we can write $w = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \cdots s_{\alpha_\ell}$ with $\alpha_i \in \Delta$ for all i .

In the above, we say that $s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \cdots s_{\alpha_\ell}$ is a reduced expression for w . Reduced expressions are not unique, but you can always get from one to another using only the braid relations (Matsumoto's theorem).

For $w \in W$, define $\Phi_w = \{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \mid w\alpha \in \Phi^-\}$. The following description of Φ_w can be useful (and is a good exercise to try to prove).

Theorem 9.8. [B, Ch VI, §6, Corollarie 2] Let $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_k}$ be a reduced expression of w . Then

$$\Phi_w = \{\alpha_{i_k}, s_{i_k} \alpha_{i_{k-1}}, s_{i_k} s_{i_{k-1}} \alpha_{i_{k-2}}, \dots, s_{i_k} \cdots s_{i_2} \alpha_{i_1}\}.$$

In particular $|\Phi_w| = \ell(w)$.

10. UNIPOTENT GROUPS

Theorem 10.1. Let X be a set of roots closed under addition, and such that if $\alpha \in X$ then $-\alpha \notin X$. Then there is a unipotent subgroup U_X of G whose Lie algebra is the sum of the root subspaces of G contained in X . Furthermore for any choice of order on the product, the multiplication map

$$\prod_{\alpha \in X} U_\alpha \rightarrow U_X$$

is an isomorphism.

Theorem 10.2. *For all roots α and β with $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, there is an identity*

$$e_\alpha(x)e_\beta(y) = \left[\prod_{\substack{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \\ i\alpha + j\beta = \gamma \in \Phi}} e_\gamma(c_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} x^i y^j) \right] e_\beta(y)e_\alpha(x),$$

for some constants $c_{i,j,\alpha,\beta}$.

Remark 10.3. Note that the product in the above theorem is a product in a non-commutative group. One needs to first choose an order of factors in the product, then the result is true. The constants $c_{i,j,\alpha,\beta}$ can depend on this order chosen.

Exercise 10.4. *Find all root systems where the elements in this product are actually non-commutative.*

Remark 10.5. With a good choice of scaling of e_α , it can be ensured that $c_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} \in \{-3, -2, -1, 1, 2, 3\}$. This requires more work. To see explicit formulae in rank 2 (which everything ultimately reduces to) without doing the computations yourself, look at SGA3, Expose XXIII.

Proof. (Sketch) Find λ such that $\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle$, and $\langle \beta, \lambda \rangle$ are both positive so we are sure we are in a unipotent group. Perform an induction on dimension to get a formula of the form

$$e_\alpha(x)e_\beta(y) = \left[\prod_{\substack{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \\ i\alpha + j\beta = \gamma \in \Phi}} e_\gamma(f_{i,j,\alpha,\beta}(x, y)) \right] e_\beta(y)e_\alpha(x),$$

and study the torus action on this identity to show the $f_{i,j,\alpha,\beta}(x, y)$ are monomials. \square

11. REPRESENTATION THEORY

Definition 11.1. *A representation of G is a vector space V , together with a morphism $a : G \times V \rightarrow V$ which satisfies the expected axioms.*

The usual definitions of submodule and simple/irreducible module apply.

We will always consider finite dimensional representations.

Definition 11.2. *A category is semisimple if every object is a direct sum of simple objects.*

Theorem 11.3. *If k has characteristic zero the category of representations of G is semisimple if and only if G is reductive.*

We'll only talk about the direction G reductive implies the category is semisimple. One way to prove this is to bootstrap the corresponding semisimplicity result for Lie algebras: Let W be a G -invariant subspace of V . Then from the Lie algebra theory, there exists a complementary Lie(G)-invariant subspace. This is then invariant under G^0 , which proves the theorem for connected groups. To pass from connected groups to disconnected groups, one can mirror one of the arguments from finite group theory (the one where you pick a complementary vector space and then average it). The above discussed approach is what you see in Milne. Another approach goes via Weyl's unitary trick (WLOG $k = \mathbb{C}$ by Lefschetz principle), bootstrapping off the corresponding result for compact groups.

We won't use it, but for completeness here is the characteristic p result:

Theorem 11.4. *If k has characteristic $p > 0$ the category of representations of G is semisimple if and only if G^0 is a torus and $|G/G^0|$ has order prime to p .*

12. THE FLAG VARIETY

Let G be a connected linear algebraic group, and let B be a Borel subgroup.

Definition 12.1. *The flag variety of G is the variety G/B .*

Definition 12.2. *The flag variety of G is the variety parametrising Borel subgroups of G .*

The equivalence of these two definitions follows from the two fundamental facts about conjugacy of Borel subgroups.

Remark 12.3. If H is any (closed) subgroup of G , we can turn G/H into a quasi-projective variety.

Remark 12.4. Technically, the second definition of flag variety is not a definition, since it doesn't explain the structure of an algebraic variety.

Definition 12.5. *A flag in a vector space V is a sequence of subspaces $0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_n = V$. If $\dim V_i = i$ for all i , then the flag is called a complete flag.*

Example 12.6. *If $G = GL_n$, then the flag variety is the variety Fl_n of all complete flags in k^n .*

In this case, there is a classical method to realise Fl_n as a projective variety, considering the composite of the embeddings

$$Fl_n \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \text{Gr}(i, n) \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}(\wedge^i k^n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N.$$

Here $\text{Gr}(i, n)$ is the Grassmannian variety parametrising i -dimensional subspaces of k^n and the first embedding is the obvious one. The second embedding is the product of the Plucker embeddings of the Grassmannian, it sends a subspace W to the line $\wedge^i W$. The final embedding is the Segre embedding. Something needs to be done at each stage to prove that these are closed embeddings, this is all standard classical algebraic geometry.

13. TORUS ACTIONS ON PROJECTIVE VARIETIES

References [BB] and [CG, §2.4]

Proposition 13.1. *Let T be a torus. Let T' be a subgroup of T . Then there exist $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k \in X^*(T)$ such that*

$$T' = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \ker(\lambda_i).$$

Corollary 13.2. *Let T be a torus acting on an irreducible variety X . Then on an open dense subset U , the stabiliser T_x is constant for all $x \in U$.*

Corollary 13.3. *Let T be a torus acting on an algebraic variety. Then only finitely many subgroups of T occur as stabilisers of points.*

Exercise 13.4. *Give an example where the above Corollary fails where T is replaced with a more general algebraic group.*

14. BRUHAT DECOMPOSITION

Exercise 14.1. *Prove that the row reduction you learned in first year linear algebra gives a proof of the Bruhat decomposition for GL_n .*

Theorem 14.2. *The T fixed points on the flag variety are in bijection with the Weyl group.*

Proof. First note that a Borel subgroup is fixed by the action of T if and only if the Borel subgroup contains T (requires $N_G(B) = B$).

Now suppose $T \subset B_1, B_2$. By conjugacy of Borel's, $B_2 = gB_1g^{-1}$ for some $g \in G$. Hence gTg^{-1} and T are two maximal tori in G . By conjugacy of maximal tori [M, ??], there exists $b_2 \in B_2$ with $b_2Tb_2^{-1} = gTg^{-1}$. Then $b_2^{-1}g \in N_G(T)$ which quickly implies the desired statement. \square

15. PICARD GROUP OF THE FLAG VARIETY

The Picard group of a variety is the group of line bundles on it.

There is a natural map

$$X^*(H) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(G/B).$$

One way to think of this: The group H is canonically a quotient of each Borel subgroup. So given $\lambda : H \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$, we pullback to obtain a one-dimensional representation of that Borel. This is a continuously varying family of representations so defines a line bundle over the variety of Borel subgroups.

Here is another way: Consider the quotient of $G \times \mathbb{A}^1$ by the B action given by $(g, x) \sim (gb, \lambda(b)x)$. This is a line bundle over G/B .

This map from $X^*(H)$ to $\text{Pic}(G/B)$ is not an isomorphism (though it is an isomorphism if you look at equivariant line bundles, and you need equivariant line bundles if you want Borel-Weil-Bott). It is an isomorphism if G is semisimple and simply-connected.

16. BOREL-WEIL-BOTT

Some references are [L] and [J, §5].

Define the dot action by $w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$, where ρ is half the sum of the positive roots.

Theorem 16.1 (Borel-Weil-Bott theorem). *Suppose k is a field of characteristic zero. Let $w \in W$ and $\lambda \in X^*(H)$ be dominant. Then $H^{\ell(w)}(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{w \cdot \lambda})$ is the irreducible G -module of highest weight λ , and all other $H^j(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\mu)$ are zero.*

The part about H^i for $i \geq 1$ (which is the Bott part) we do not discuss, look at the references for a treatment of these. In characteristic p , this theorem fails in multiple ways.

Exercise 16.2. *Give an explicit formula for the highest weight vector as a function on G as a product of minors when $G = GL_n$. (this may require reading ahead to understand how to interpret a section as a function on G). Generalise this to a statement about the highest weight vector function being a product of generalised minors for fundamental weights for arbitrary reductive G .*

Lemma 16.3. *Suppose $\lambda \in X^*(T)$. The function $f_\lambda : U^- \times B \rightarrow k$ defined by $f_\lambda(u^-b) = \lambda(b)$ extends to a regular function on G if and only if λ is dominant.*

Proof. We use the Bruhat decomposition $G = U^-WB$. Suppose λ is dominant. We have f_λ defined on the largest Bruhat cell, the idea is to first extend it to codimension 1 cells via a reduction to rank 1 which is an explicit computation (discussed in [L]), then the extension to the rest follows from the algebraic Hartog's lemma ([V, 13.5.9] or maybe better [GW, Theorem 6.45]). The explicit computation also shows that λ being dominant is a necessary condition to extend f_λ \square

Proof. If the existence of a highest weight representation $V(\lambda)$ is already known (e.g. by Lie algebra theory and then exponentiating), then we can write down f_λ explicitly by

$$f_\lambda(g) = \mu(gv_\lambda)$$

where v_λ is a highest weight vector of highest weight λ and μ is projection onto the (1-dimensional) λ weight space. \square

17. CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES

From now on we focus our attention on ordinary cohomology. Other cohomology theories are possible (Chow [H, Appendix A], étale)

We discuss Chern classes because we use them in later discussions. [MS] is one classical reference. There are multiple different constructions of Chern classes. We follow the approach taken in [BT].

Let \mathcal{E} be a complex vector bundle of rank r on X . There is an associated projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$. We can determine its cohomology in terms of the cohomology of X .

Theorem 17.1. *For $1 \leq i \leq r$ there exist elements $c_i(\mathcal{E}) \in H^{2i}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ such that*

$$H^*(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}); \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{Z})[x]/(x^r + c_1(\mathcal{E})x^{r-1} + \cdots + c_r(\mathcal{E}))$$

and x restricts to a generator of $H^2(\mathbb{P}^{r-1})$ on each fibre.

Definition 17.2. *The elements $c_i(\mathcal{E})$ defined above are called the Chern classes of \mathcal{E} .*

18. BOREL-MOORE HOMOLOGY

All spaces should satisfy some reasonable hypothesis. The most general hypotheses possible will not be discussed, everything is always OK with the \mathbb{C} -points of a complex algebraic variety.

A reference for some facts (not always with proof, but hopefully with a reference to a proof) about Borel-Moore homology is [CG, §2.6].

There are many definitions of Borel-Moore homology. One is

$$H_i^{BM}(X) = \mathbb{H}^{-i}(X; \omega_X).$$

Here ω_X is the dualising sheaf (which lives in the derived category of sheaves on X). A menagerie of other definitions are in [CG, §2.6] cited above.

If X is compact then $H_i^{BM}(X) = H_i(X)$ (ordinary singular homology), while if X is a smooth manifold of (real) dimension d then $H_i^{BM}(X) = H^{d-i}(X)$ (ordinary cohomology).

If $i : Z \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion of a closed subset and $j : U \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion of its open complement, then applying

$$0 \rightarrow i_!i^! \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow j_*j^* \rightarrow +1$$

to ω_X yields a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_p^{BM}(Z) \rightarrow H_p^{BM}(X) \rightarrow H_p^{BM}(U) \rightarrow H_{p-1}^{BM}(Z) \rightarrow \cdots$$

19. COHOMOLOGY OF FLAG VARIETIES

In type A, we have:

Theorem 19.1. *Let X be the variety of complete flags in \mathbb{C}^n . Then*

$$H^\bullet(X(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]/(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$$

where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are in degree 2 and e_i is the i -th elementary symmetric function in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .

Remark 19.2. We will actually show more than this, in that x_i is the first Chern class of a tautological subquotient line bundle on $X(\mathbb{C})$.

The following discussion shows that this integral statement does not extend to arbitrary type.

The Siegel parabolic in Sp_{2n} is the maximal parabolic subgroup P such that Sp_{2n}/P is the moduli of Lagrangian subspaces (a subspace is Lagrangian if it is half the total dimension and the symplectic form restricts to zero on it)

Exercise 19.3. *Let P be the Siegel parabolic in Sp_{2n} . Let U be its unipotent radical. Prove that P/U (this is called a Levi subgroup, it is noncanonically a subgroup of Sp_{2n}) is isomorphic to GL_n .*

Example 19.4. *Let P be the Siegel parabolic in Sp_4 . Then $H^\bullet(Sp_4/P; \mathbb{Z})$ is not generated in degree 2 (though $H^\bullet(Sp_4/P; \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ is generated in degree 2).*

Proof. Embed the Lagrangian grassmannian inside $Gr(2, 4)$, which is embedded via the Plucker embedding as a quadric hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^5 . The condition on being Lagrangian involves intersecting with a hyperplane so Sp_4/P is a quadric hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^4 . Hence its cohomology groups are \mathbb{Z} in even degrees and 0 otherwise (there are general methods for computing the cohomology groups of a smooth hypersurface, but here it is also possible and simpler to use the Bruhat decomposition). The fact that $c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^3 = 2[Sp_4/P]$ implies the non-generation. \square

Corollary 19.5. *$H^\bullet(Sp_4/B)$ is not generated in degree 2.*

Proof. This is from the above, plus $Sp_4/B \rightarrow Sp_4/P$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration. \square

20. SCHUBERT VARIETIES

Definition 20.1. *A Schubert cell is a B -orbit in the flag variety. A Schubert variety is the closure of a B -orbit in the flag variety.*

As B -orbits are indexed by W , so are Schubert varieties. We write X_w for the Schubert variety indexed by $w \in W$.

Remark 20.2. While other objects we have come across have good definitions as schemes, here the standard approach is simply to put the reduced scheme structure on X_w .

Exercise 20.3. In type A , show that Schubert varieties are all of the form

$$X_w = \{\mathcal{F}^\bullet \mid \dim(\mathcal{F}^i \cap \mathbb{C}^j) \geq d_{ij}\}$$

for some choices of integers d_{ij} which depend on w .

Exercise 20.4. In B_2 , label the simple reflections s and t where s corresponds to the short root. Show that all Schubert varieties in the B_2 flag variety are smooth, except for X_{sts} . Furthermore show that $X_{sts}^{\text{sing}} = X_t$ and the singularity along X_t is smoothly equivalent to that of $xy - z^2$ at the origin in \mathbb{A}^3 .

Exercise 20.5. Work in SL_3 . Show that any Bott-Samelson variety for the longest word is not homeomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^1)^3$.

Remark 20.6. An answer is at <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/14770/>, and also a much harder (MO-hard) question: Why is the flag variety masquerading as a product of \mathbb{P}^1 's?

REFERENCES

- [BB] Bialynicki-Birula - Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups **9**
- [B] Bourbaki - Groupes et Algebres de Lie (book) **7**
- [BT] Bott and Tu - Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology (book) **11**
- [C] Conrad - Reductive Group Schemes **2, 4**
- [CG] Chriss and Ginzburg - Representation Theory and Complex Geometry (book) **9, 11**
- [GW] Gortz, Wedhorn - Algebraic Geometry I (book) **11**
- [J] Jantzen - Representations of Algebraic Groups (book) **10**
- [H] Hartshorne - Algebraic Geometry (book) **11**
- [L] Lurie - A proof of the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem **10, 11**
- [M] Milne - Algebraic Groups (book) **2, 3, 5, 10**
- [MS] Milnor and Stasheff - Characteristic Classes (book) **11**
- [T] Tits - Normalisateurs de tores. I. Groupes de Coxeter étendus" (1966) **7**
- [V] Vakil - The Rising Sea (book) **11**

Email address: `maths@petermc.net`